

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/603,854 | 06/26/2003 | Tadashi Umeda | 6370 | |
| 7590 05/11/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Tadashi Umeda | | | VORTMAN, ANATOLY | |
| 2-11-3 Matsudo-cho Hitachinaka City, 3/2-0016 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| JAPAN | ,, | | 2835 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Anatoly Vortman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2835

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

Application No. 10/603,854

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment:

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment mailed on October 12, 2005, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of one (1) month. No sufficient extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained.

Applicant has filed a non-compliant reply on January 24, 2006 and obtained the one (1) month extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) on October 31, 2005, however two (2) months extensions of time would have been required to make said amendment timely. Further, applicant had time until expiration of the extended statutory period for reply (i.e. until April 12, 2006) to submit the deficiency or to file new reply with sufficient extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Applicant, however, have not done so.

Further, a Notice of Non-Compliant amendment was mailed on March 14, 2006, which did not set new shortened statutory period for reply. Therefore, the period for reply was continuing to run from the mailing date of the Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment of October 12, 2005.

Further, applicant has filed the reply to the aforementioned Notice of Non-Compliant amendment on April 11, 2006 with two (2) months extension of time fee, however (3) month(s) extension of time would have been required in order to make said reply timely. Accordingly, the reply of April 11, 2006 was late, since the application became abandoned, by operation of law, on February 13, 2006.

A. Va

PS: Examiner has consulted with SPRE Clayton Laballe on 8 May 2006, who verified that application is abandoned.

2